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Research objectives and materials: This essay discusses the rise of cities in the territories of the Golden Horde. It contextualizes the information found in European travelers such as Marco Polo and William of Rubruck to examine the transition from a nomadic economy to a sedentary economy. Arabic sources such as Abū ʿAbd Allāh Abūl Fidā allow a survey of the location of the major urban centers while Ibn Battūta allows a deeper understanding of the composition of cities. While Ukek started as an urban center situated at the northern limit of the annual nomadic migration route and midway between the capital city Saray in the south and the city of Bulgar in the north, the essay argues that it is likely that it later gained in importance as a center for grain production as the result of climate change. It also attempts to understand the origin and meaning of the name Ukek, for the etymology of which it offers a detailed examination of medieval and modern data.

Results and novelty of the research: The essay proposes that the name Ukek actually relays information about the geological formation of the site on which it is built. While most scholars have understood the original meaning of ‘box’ to represent fortifications built on the city wall, the author argues that the name refers rather to the boxlike hollow shape of the formation, which offered both shelter and an elevated location which would be both visible to travelers as well as a shape easily recognized by travelers coming from thousands of kilometers from the southeast, where there were several other such formations carrying the same name.
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Introduction
In this contribution devoted to several aspects of the history of the Golden Horde city Ükek, I would first like to offer a brief overview of the rise of urban centers in the Golden Horde. I would then like to use this as the context for trying to evaluate the development and role of Ükek as a city in the Golden Horde. I will also discuss the etymology of the name Ükek and offer the most likely solution for a correct etymology, including what it might mean relative to the actual site of the medieval settlement. Finally I would like to offer a few concluding thoughts on
what factors might have led to the transformation of Ükek into a major urban center during the period of the Golden Horde (13th–14th centuries).

We may begin by observing that the majority of the population of the Golden Horde, including its ruling élite, led a nomadic lifestyle in the mid-13th century. By the 14th century, however, the ruling élite had established itself for part or all of the year in fixed sedentary centers. There have been relatively few attempts to offer an overview of urban life in the Golden Horde. Today we can view the Golden Horde as a state in which pre-existing urban centers flourished and new ones were created on sites probably not inhabited by sedentarists at the time of the Mongol invasions. Viewed from a distance of well over seven centuries, the destruction of urban centers during the initial conquests was connected with destruction of local centers of political, military, and economic power. These centers would have resisted the conquest of western Eurasia by the Mongol World Empire. Their destruction was, however, an anomalous fact compared to the well-documented expansion in urban life in the territories of the patrimony of Batu over the course of the 13th–14th centuries. This is one of the most important aspects of the urban history of the Golden Horde for which data are now available.

As I have described elsewhere, another important aspect is that these same urban centers served as a home for a cosmopolitan Islamic civilization [see my: 49; 51]. Although the Golden Horde was a model of religious tolerance in the 13th century, the conversion of the ruling élite and the integration of the Golden Horde into the Islamic world over the course of the 13th–14th centuries necessarily led to the introduction of Islamic religious knowledge, art and architectural forms, epigraphical languages, religious and bellettristic literary forms, and even Islamic science. This picture would not last, however, once the waves of bubonic plague known as the Black Death struck the territories of the Golden Horde beginning in the middle of the 14th century [on the Black Death in the Golden Horde see most recently my: 48]. The demographic collapse in this period necessarily meant that the urban centers of the Golden Horde would also rapidly decline and suffer from the political and military unrest of this “time of trouble”.

1. The Nomadic Background: Migrations and Proto-Cities

The development of towns and the transition of the Golden Horde’s ruling élite and state apparatus to an urbanized way of life did not come about through an overnight transformation (nor does this article take into consideration earlier cities in eastern Eurasia such as Qaraqorum). Rather, the process should be considered a gradual one spread over many decades, and one may even ask whether the process was ever fully completed. The sources for the Mongol World Empire in the mid-13th century already describe the ruler’s camp as mobile centers of population and economic activity. One might almost consider these camps, which followed the seasonal migrations of the élite and the rest of the population, as proto-cities forming a model for some of the fixed urban centers, at least for Saray if not for the later New Saray. The Western travelers John of Plano Carpini and William of Rubruck, who followed the normal routes taken by merchants during the reign of Batu, visited Batu’s mobile camp, not the new capital city that William of Rubruck

---

1 In this regard the works of Fedorov-Davydov stand out as an exception, see for example: [17; 18; 19] and more recently Kramarovsky [25]. It is worth noting that even the 3rd volume of Istoriya Tatar offers only a limited treatment of urban life in the Golden Horde.
said had just been established [59, p. 207]. Although there is a reference in an Armenian source to Batu Khan’s enormous “tent city”, if we may call it that, on the Volga (Etil) River, it is not clear whether this is possibly a reference to the newly-established capital city of Saray or just to his mobile camp [24, p. 217–218; 23, p. 292–293]. Whether this source is describing Saray or a mobile proto-city, the reference may be compared with 'Umarī’s more detailed description of the phenomenon of tent cities in the Il-khanate, including how these mobile cities were followed by important markets [57, p. Ar. 87/149 and Ar. 99/157].

It is not possible to ascertain how much Batu used Saray, if at all. What is clear from William of Rubruck’s account is that Batu Khan continued to nomadize despite the establishment of a fixed urban center [59, p. 126 and p. 207–210]. If the reign of Batu marked the first step in the end of the ruling élite’s exclusively nomadic existence, the reign of his successor Berke should be seen as marking the beginning of a transition to a sedentary way of life. According to the report of Marco Polo, his father Niccolò and his uncle Maffeo traveled in 1260 from Sudaq to the court of Berke, who according to this source was living at that time in Bulğar (Bolgara) and Saray [30, p. 34]. When viewed from the perspective of the transition from mobile camps to fixed cities, this report raises the question of whether the ruling élite continued to follow a pattern of seasonal migrations after the establishment of a major fixed sedentary center. If Marco Polo’s report is accurate, around 1260 Berke was treating Bulğar in the north and the recently-established Saray of Batu in the south as his two residences. (It seems less likely that the later New Saray of Berke is meant here.) Although Bulğar was north of the limit of traditional north-south pastoralism along the Volga River, it clearly could have served as Berke’s seat of government for part of the year, in all likelihood during the summer; in such a case Saray would have served as his winter capital. Bulğar was probably attractive to Berke, an early convert to Islam, because of its role as an important center of Islamic religious learning as well.

It is certain that by the 14th century the khan and his court would spend the winter in the south in one of the capitals called Saray, since Ibn Batṭūṭa visited the court of Özbek Khan in Saray during the winter. Yet, it is not clear whether the khans of the Golden Horde ever abandoned the seasonal search for more favorable climatic conditions, nor should we expect that they ever did, if we may judge by patterns of human behavior even at the beginning of the 21st century. There is evidence to suggest that the khans of the Golden Horde continued to travel north in the summer to the mountains (rather than to Bulğar, as described by Marco Polo), but it is not clear whether this report refers to the time of the compilation of this source in the mid-14th century or to an earlier period. We also know from Ibn Batṭūṭa’s first encounter with Özbek Khan that the khan and his entourage were traveling in the form of a mobile camp at the time, which indicates that in all likelihood even Özbek Khan never followed a completely sedentary way of life [22, ii, p. 481ff.].

---

2 For the translator’s analysis of the chronology of Ibn Batṭūṭa’s itinerary, see: [22, ii, p. 531].

3 According to 'Umarī, the khans went to the ArQ Tağ mountains during the summer, which could possibly be a reference to the Ural Mountains [57, p. Ar. 83/147]. The Il-khanid rulers also continued to practice seasonal migrations, as seen in ‘Umarī [57, p. Ar. 87/149].
2. The Rise of Cities as Nodes of Commerce

The most important question, however, is what factors might have led to the establishment of fixed urban centers, indeed capital cities, in areas formerly inhabited by nomads. Certainly the evidence just cited suggests that they may not have been intended solely to serve the purpose of year-round residences for the khan. Rather, we should consider Le Goff’s observation that towns served as nodes in the network of long-distance trade [26, p. 78]. Indeed, I would argue that the centrality of trade to the economy of the Golden Horde was the most critical factor in the establishment of new towns and even cities [on the commerce of the Golden Horde see my: 50]. Before proceeding with this argument, however, I would simply like to suggest other factors which one might also consider. For example, there is too little data to ascertain whether there was a growing population in the Golden Horde and in its subject states through the middle of the 14th century which might also have contributed to a process of sedentarization and urbanization. Such a demographic trend has been described for much of Western and Eastern Europe in the same period, but it has not been discussed in the case of western Eurasia, see the discussion in: [26, p. 245; and also 9, p. 57–65].

Already the earlier mobile proto-cities served a commercial function, as is clear from the accounts of the European travelers of the 13th century, who did not visit Saray in the steppe zone. Fixed settlements, towns, and even cities would not have developed in the steppe zone, let alone flourished, without the fulfillment of several conditions. One of these was the security afforded trade routes in the steppe zone by a strong state-sponsored security apparatus, in the case of the Golden Horde the so-called “Pax Mongolica”. One of the results of this strong security, which meant protection from possible predatory raids by highly-mobile nomadic forces, was that centers of sedentary habitation could exist successfully in the steppe zone traditionally inhabited by nomads. Immediately prior to the Mongol conquests Kiev and the commercial centers of the Volga-Kama confluence, the Crimea, and Xwarezm had relied on cooperation with the nomadic Kipchak Turks (including the Polovtsians) to provide security for trade routes and for the city itself. Such security was not intended for the well-being of the citizens of the state. Rather, it was necessary for the promotion and success of markets and international commerce over the entire steppe zone, which would, of course, result in increased tax revenues for the sedentary and nomadic states concerned.

In contrast to the protection afforded these centers by nomadic Kipchak Turks, the Golden Horde combined both the function of state security for international commerce and the function of sponsorship of marketplaces under a single state apparatus. Etil must have served the same function centuries earlier under the security offered by the Khazar state. The promotion of commercial activity also required the development of a commercial infrastructure in the steppe zone, including marketplaces, accommodations and warehouses for merchants, and the toll barriers from which the ruling élite would derive a steadily increasing income. While the original mobile proto-cities could offer only limited services to merchants, the steady increase in commercial activity already in the mid-13th century necessitated the establishment of large fixed commercial centers that could be reliably located by travelers year-round already by the end of Batu’s reign, i.e. before the even more dramatic increase in commercial relations with the Italian maritime republics.
It is no coincidence that the capital cities of Saray and later New Saray were strategically located along the crossroads of international commerce passing through the territories of the Golden Horde and served more or less the function of international commercial centers. Many other towns also emerged along trade routes to facilitate commercial activity by serving as entrepôts and marketplaces. Many smaller settlements were created along the routes of trade and communication for other reasons such as manning river crossing stations and transportation stations for changing horses (including for the couriers of the imperial messenger system), toll barriers, and bases from which trade expeditions could be mounted to outlying areas such as the sources of furs in the distant forests of the north.

The urban centers in the steppe zone would have served no purpose in the absence of extensive commercial activity, nor would it have made any sense for the state or for individuals to engage in the costly task of building an urban center and sponsoring monumental architecture in the absence of a significant source of revenue to support such building activity. It is not clear, however, whether there was a system of caravansarais in the steppe regions of western Eurasia; Ibn Batṭūṭa does refer to “stations” between cities [22, ii, p. 475] The presence of urban centers in the steppe zone such as Etil in the Khazar period and the two Sarays in the Mongol period bespeaks a significant volume of international trade, most notably through the Black Sea, and the absence of such centers in certain periods such as during the Kievan period may indicate a significantly lower level of commercial activity in these same territories.

We do not know what portion of the cost of developing these sites – no doubt a huge investment – was borne by the Golden Horde state. It is possible that individual commercial buildings or larger complexes were paid for by individual merchants or trading associations. It is clear in certain cases, however, that specific examples of monumental architecture were sponsored by wealthy members of the ruling élite, including the khan and his tribal leaders. In addition to the palaces of the khan and similar buildings, there were mosques and other religious structures endowed by the khan and other members of the ruling élite and their wives, not to mention baths and other kinds of structures as well. Another phenomenon necessarily accompanying the emergence of fixed urban centers was the creation of a far higher degree of economic specialization among the labor force than under a subsistence-level pastoral nomadic economy. Earlier, artisans were relocated in towns and settlements along the trade routes to produce luxury goods, and other segments of the population, including Slavs, were relocated to raise food and provide other services for the state. By the 14th century, additional jobs and services were no doubt required to maintain urban centers with a permanent ruling class, security apparatus, artisans, commercial infrastructure, and religious-learned class. The new socio-economic groups which formed in the cities, towns, and settlements which came into existence as a direct or indirect result of the policies of the Golden Horde state came to represent an integral part of its population by the mid-14th century.
3. The Major Urban Centers of the Golden Horde

Turning to the urban centers themselves, the great traveler Ibn Baṭṭūta, whose itinerary included Kaffa, Qırım, Azaq, Mačar, Saray, Sarayçuq, and Xwarezm, is one of the unique sources allowing us to see the towns of the Golden Horde, and especially its great cities, as vibrant and complex cosmopolitan centers. Other written sources offer far less information. 'Umarī cites a report including references to Xwarezm, Siğnaq, Sawran, Barkent, Cend, Saray, Macar, Azaq, Aqça Kerman, Kaffa, Sudaq, Saqsin, Ükek, and Bulğar as cities of the Golden Horde [57, p. Ar. 75/142]. Some of these were sites of traditional sedentary settlement representing continuity of habitation in the southern and northern zones, while others were new urban centers established in the steppe zone during the 13th–14th centuries on sites that were previously uninhabited. Although many of them predate the Mongol campaigns, the traditional urban centers of both Xwarezm and the Crimean peninsula became centers of state administration rather late, if we are to judge by their role as mint sites. As can be seen from the maps provided by Yegorov, the archaeological record reveals many additional sites whose names are not even known from the medieval sources [62].

The traditional urban centers of the south were quite different in character from the cities established in the forest-steppe zone in the centuries preceding the Mongol campaigns and especially from the new cities established in the steppe zone during the 13th–14th centuries. Most centers in both the Crimea and in Xwarezm were communities that had existed for centuries or even millennia. Even Kaffa, developed through the activity of the Italian maritime republics, was in the same location as the ancient Theodosia.

We must, however, look to the urban centers established in the steppe zone by the khans of the Golden Horde to serve as their capitals to understand the quintessential creations of the Golden Horde. Only the two capital cities of Saray can give us clear insight into what the rulers of the Golden Horde considered to be a city. What is left of the first of these capital cities, commonly known as Saray or Saray Batu (also known as Saray al-mahrūsa), is associated by archeologists with the modern site 118 km north of Astrakhan known as Selitrennoye (near Axtubinsk) along the Axtuba River, a branch of the lower Volga River. The second city, New Saray (or Saray al-cadīd, from Arabic cadīd ‘new’), also commonly known as Saray Berke, is associated by archeologists with the modern site 360 km north of Astrakhan known as Tsarev, which is near the great bend of the river.

Let us consider for a moment why the capital of the Golden Horde was such a remarkable achievement for that time and place. Ibn Baṭṭūta, who traveled to Saray Berke following his visits to Azaq and Mačar, has provided us with our only vivid description of life in this city. He considered Saray “one of the finest of cities, of boundless size, choked with the throng of its inhabitants, and possessing good bazaars and broad streets”. It took him a whole day to ride its length and return home, and he walked its breadth and back in half a day through a continuous line of houses among which there were no ruins and no gardens. Özbek Khan had a palace in this city, and the inhabitants of the city included Mongols, As (Alans), Kipchaks, Cherkes, Russians, and Greeks (Rūm). Each group lived in its own separate quarter with its own bazaars. Merchants and visitors from Iraq, Egypt, Syria, and elsewhere lived in a separate quarter surrounded by a wall for the protection of the
properties of the merchants [22, ii, p. 515–517]. We can see from this description alone that Saray Berke was truly a cosmopolitan center.

Unfortunately, very little else about the study of the capital cities of the Golden Horde is as coherent and straightforward as Ibn Battūta’s elegant description. Unfortunately, some of the sources do not even clarify whether they are referring to the original Saray (Saray Batu) or the later New Saray (Saray Berke), nor is it ever entirely certain that the author of a given source is even aware that there are two different Sarays. This has also led to a certain amount of confusion among modern scholars. Certain details are no doubt true of both capitals, such as Abū l-Fidāʾ’s comment that Saray (in this case Abū l-Fidā is referring to the Saray founded by Batu) is frequented by merchants and is the site of a great trade in Turkic slaves [1, p. 322–323]. (Since Abū l-Fidā also draws on sources from the pre-Mongol period, his account often introduces additional complications.) In other instances it would be interesting to know which Saray is meant, as in the case of ‘Umarī’s description of a palace with a gold crescent moon which served as the winter residence of the khan and towers serving as residences for the emirs [57, p. Ar. 81/146], compare Ibn Battūta’s description. For example, if we could be certain that this information refers, in fact, to the more northern Saray Berke, it would add further evidence to substantiate the view that the khan was no longer wintering with the flocks along the lower Volga. Following this background survey of the rise of urban centers in the Golden Horde, let us now turn to a consideration of Ükek as a Golden Horde city.

4. A Brief Overview of Ükek in the Written Sources

Today the old city of Uvek – site of the medieval city of Ükek – is a small village about 10 km south of the city of Saratov. By the 14th century, however, it had become one of the most important cities of the Golden Horde, situated approximately halfway between the Golden Horde capital of Saray in the south and the city of Bulğar in the north. The city is mentioned by Marco Polo under the year 1260, when the Polo brothers traveled from the court of Berke to Ükek (Ucaca) on their way to Bukhara [30, p. 35].

The name Ükek also appears mentioned in the Islamic sources. As already noted above, ‘Umarī mentions it as one of the cities of the Horde [57, p. Ar. 75/142]. Vassāf describes that the lands ruled by Chinggis Khan’s oldest son Jochi are “Saqsin, Qipçaq, Xwarezm, Bulğar, Qirim, and Ukak as far as Russia” [58, p. 86]. Timurid chroniclers also mention Ükek in connection with the battles in the mid-1390s (ca. 1395) between Timur and Toqtamış [42, p. 121; 53, p. 178 and 185]. On the other hand, some other references in the sources are more problematic: the so-called “Iskandar Anonymous” lists Ükek (Ūkak) among the lands of the “Blue Horde” rather than the “White Horde” (the correct name for the western part of the “Golden Horde”), which is one of the reasons why I have serious

---

4 I am aware that many local scholars in Saratov have published detailed works on the history of Ükek and Saratov, but it is not possible for me to give a thorough review of recent developments in local scholarship in Saratov here. For a detailed survey I refer the reader to the work of a colleague in Saratov who kindly shared her work with me, see: [10].
misgivings about this source) [3, Pers. p. 232/p. 127]/5. The same error is repeated by Gaffari [20, p. 211].

More details are offered by Abū l-Fidā, who describes Ükek (al-Ukak) as a small city of the seventh clime, situated by induction at 78 degrees longitude and 49 degrees 55 minutes latitude in the country of Saray [1, p. 323–324]/6. It is built along the west bank of the Volga halfway between Saray and Bulğar (Bular) meaning about 15 days journey in either direction to reach one city or the other. According to this source, the “horde” of the Tatar prince of the country of Berke travels as far north as Ükek but does not go beyond this point [1, p.323–324]. We may recall that the northern point of nomadization along the Volga by Batu’s orda is the same spot for which William of Rubruck describes a part-Ruthenian, part-Saracen village recently established by the Tatars to serve as a river crossing [59, p. 126]. At the conference devoted to the history of Uvek held on June 4–6, 2015 in Saratov, many scholars asserted that Ükek was the site of a river crossing cited by William of Rubruck. While William of Rubruck does not state the name of the place explicitly, it indeed appears justifiable to consider Ükek as the site of the northern river crossing.

Drawing on the coins described by Yanina, we know that Ükek served as a mint site under the reign of Toqta (r. 1290–1313) [63, p. 169–175]. Even though it served as a mint site only later, clearly it appears the urban center had been established much earlier, certainly by the time of the visits of William of Rubruck (1253) and the Polos (1260). By the time Ibn Battūtā visited Ükek (Ukak) in 1332, the great traveler was able to describe it as “a city of middling size, with fine buildings and abundant commodities, and extremely cold”. He located it ten nights’ march to “the sultan’s capital” Saray and one day’s march from the mountains of the Christian Rūs [22, ii, p. 498–499 (cf. n. 306 citing Yule)]. (Could the difference in the number of days given by Abū l-Fidā versus the number of days given by Ibn Battūtā reflect the different locations of the two distinct Sarays, the earlier one more distant from Ükek and the later one closer to it?) Since Ibn Battūtā considers Ükek to have been of “medium size”, one may venture a guess that its population was perhaps in the range of roughly 10,000–25,000. Of course, as Leonard Nedashkovsky shows, there were many other settlements in the region surrounding Ükek and other cities of the Golden Horde, see: [39; 40; 41]. As I will suggest below, that must also have represented a significant population.

5 The full work has been published as [38].
6 Today we would say that Uvek, Russian Federation is located at 45.966542 degrees E longitude and 51.427416 degrees N latitude.
7 I discuss the basis for estimating the size of the population of the cities of the Golden Horde in my: [52, chapter 8].
Menges has noted that earlier maps point out the existence of a mountain range called Ükek-Daba ‘Walltower pass range’ (?) in the Khangay Mountains, Mongolia located at 47 degrees N latitude and 100.2 degrees E longitude [35, p. 30]. I could not confirm this toponym or find any images of this location (except through Google Earth, see image 1 in the Appendix). With modern technologies and internet search engines, however, it is possible to cast one’s net wider to find places incorporating ükek – ükök in toponyms and easily find images of these places. I would like to offer two additional examples of this.

In one area near Naryn, Kyrgyzstan we find the Ükek Suusu river, Köl-Ükök lake, and the Pereval Ukek or Ükök Pass (located at 42.0182 degrees N latitude and 75.9756 degrees E longitude, average elevation 3,941 meters) in the Gory Ukek mountains. A second area would be the Ükök Plateau in the Altay Republic, more recently the site of the discovery of the “Princess of Ükök”, a well-preserved female mummy from the 5th century BCE, see: [54]. What is striking about all of the maps and photos I have been able to gather concerning these geological features is that they all seem to share the feature of being surrounded completely by a range of mountains, or sometimes on two sides (in the case of a river or mountain pass). Could it be that the mountains provide a protected enclosure (on however large a scale), similar to that offered by a box or a chest (as in the definition offered by Kaşgari cited by Clauson or found in modern Turkic and especially Mongolian languages)? Is it even necessary to be enclosed on all sides, or is it enough to have the high wall of a geological feature protecting the site on just one side?

The reason I pose this question is because it seems to me that the physical site of Uvek (the former Ükek) has geological features which are strikingly similar to the images I have found for Ükök Pass, Köl-Ükök lake, and Ükek Suusu river in Naryn, Kyrgyzstan and the Ükök Plateau in the Altay Republic, Russian Federation. (It is also similar to many images I have found for the Khangay Mountains, except I have not found any images for the precise location cited by Menges.) When looks at those images, one may ask whether the city of Ükek was called that because of its man-made walls (which it may well have had), or the towers built on the man-made walls (which it may have had as well), or whether it was chosen as a site whose geological characteristics would be immediately recognizable to any traveler coming from far off eastern regions of the Mongol World Empire located in present-day Mongolia, Kyrgyzstan, and the Altay Republic of the Russian Federation? If the location was chosen because of its location at the northern limit of nomadism (and perhaps the security and vista it offered, too), is it possible that the name given to this place because it looked just like the other places known by the name Ükek 2,500–4,000 km away to the southeast?

6. The Transformation of Ükek into a Major Urban Center

To conclude the first portion of my paper, I would like to review what I consider to be some of the possible factors contributing to the fact that Ükek became an important urban center, incorporating the data offered above with some new theories as well. First of all, it is clear from William of Rubruck that this is the northern-most point of nomadization by Batu and Abū l-Fidāʾ makes the same statement for the prince ruling over the country of Berke (see above). Although it is likely that other groups were nomadizing further to the north, for the purpose of
developing the infrastructure of a state, it made sense to choose the southern-most point (Saray) and the northern-most point (Ükek) of the territory regularly traveled by the herds and personnel of Batu Khan and his successors.

Second, the site of Ükek was a recognizable geological formation by whose very name travelers would immediately recognize the location when they saw it. The site was more easily defended, its elevation offered better visibility, and if fires were lit in the towers at night it could serve as a beacon to guide travelers and caravans to its location.

Third, the infrastructure of the state eventually demanded the establishment of fixed urban centers serving the administrative needs of the state, as markets, and as the site of predictable river crossings serving the needs of couriers, merchants, and other travelers. As such Ükek would have served as an important northern cross-road along the Volga River for the trade routes connecting other nodes of commerce to the north, southeast, the southwest, and other directions.

Fourth, as the major urban center halfway between Saray and Bulgăr, it should have developed as a major center of Islamic civilization, too. Fragments of Islamic grave markers found in Uvek (which as far as I know remain unpublished) remind one of the Volga Bulgarian inscriptions found further to the north. One should expect that more evidence will emerge to support the notion not only that Ükek was a major center of Islamic civilization along the Volga River, but a city with Christian inhabitants as well, as evidenced by a Franciscan residence there, see: [45, p. 95 and 243].

Finally, it is not clear whether the region surrounding Ükek was a major center of grain cultivation in the mid-13th century or not. (Of course, today the region surrounding Saratov is well known as a major center of wheat production, which is symbolic for the region.) When did this region first become a center of wheat production? I have noted elsewhere that Venice and the other Italian maritime republics began to import wheat from the territories of the Golden Horde in the 13th century, see my: [50]. As I argue elsewhere, however, the 1280s also marks the beginning of a climatic shift in the territories of the Golden Horde, see my: [47]. It appears that regions further to the south ceased to experience the moister conditions required for grain production as precipitation shifted to the north. For this reason, it is possible that beginning in the 1280s the countryside around Ükek may also have grown in importance as a site of wheat production for export to Italy. This would have led to an increase in number of settlements around Ükek (as studied by Nedashkovsky, see above), an increase in the population of agricultural workers in this region, and even further growth in the importance of Ükek as a major commercial center.

7. The Etymology and Significance of the Name Ükek

While it is not my goal to offer a complete study of the etymology of the name Ükek, a study of the history of Uvek in the medieval period should consider this matter, too. For this reason, I would like to reproduce some of the basic information from international scholarship on Turkic and Mongolian philology so that it should be readily available to scholars who are not necessarily Turkologists, Mongolists, or linguists, or may not be able to consult all of these works firsthand.

Karl Heinrich Menges [35] and Max Vasmer [16] (who taught at Saratov State University during the period of the Russian Civil War) discussed the etymology of
Uvek, proposing a Turkic origin with parallel forms in Mongolian languages as well. According to Vasmer’s etymological dictionary of the Russian language [16, iv, p. 144]:


Thus, according to Vasmer, Uvek is the name of the ruins on the right bank of the Volga downstream from Saratov, referring to Bartol’d (as cited by Markwart) and Spuler. The former reference should actually be to Schaeder’s critical foreword to the posthumous edition of Markwart’s work, not to the main body of the work itself (which has a separate pagination). Schaeder’s foreword itself is citing Marquart’s monograph on the Qumans in which he cites Vaṣṣāf’s description (already cited above, see also: [58, p. 86]) of the lands ruled by Chinggis Khan’s oldest son Jochi as Saqsin, Qipçaq, Xwarezm, Bulğar, Qirim, and Ukak as far as Russia [46, p. 43*; citing 31, p. 80]. While there is no citation of Bartol’d at the location cited by Vasmer, Bartol’d does mention Ükek in his famed “Twelve Lectures on the History of the Turks of Central Asia” and other works, see: [7, p. 710; 6, p. 136; 8, p. 401–402; 5, p. 517]. Vasmer also cites the brief references to “Ükek” in the text and notes to Spuler’s history of the Golden Horde [citing 55, p. 210 n. 5, 265 n. 8, 284, 296, 315, 416 n. 2, 427, 431, 435, and 448 n. 9].

7.1. Old Turkic Forms

Following Menges, Vasmer derives the name from NW Turkic (i.e., Kipchak Turkic) *Üväk from an earlier from *Ügäk, which is from ükäk (found in Maḥmūd al-Kāšārī’s Dīwān lugāt al-turk) with the meaning ‘box, wall tower’⁸. For this great 11th century medieval dictionary of the Turkic languages written in Arabic Menges cites Brockelmann [11, p. 235], who translates this word and the additional words derived from it as:

ükäklik ‘Kistenholz’ I, 135, 12.
ükäklig ‘mit Mauertürmen versehn’ I, 135, 13.
ükäklänmäk ‘mit Mauertürmen versehn sein’ I, 258, 1.

Atalay’s translation of the Dīwān lugāt al-turk offers translations of the definition of these words into Turkish as follows (omitting the Arabic script) [29, i, pp. 78 (ükek), 153 (ükeklik, ükekliğ tam), and 307 (ükekle-):]

ükek : Tabut, sandik; Şehrin savaş için hazırlanan olan etrafındaki burç.

⁸ As noted above, I am not able to follow all the local scholars in Saratov who have written about the etymology of Uvek/Ükek, see for example: [10, p. 112] citing [32, p. 111].
Ükeklek: Sandık yapmak için ayrılan ağaç. Üzerinde burçlar bulunan kaleye “ükekleğ tam” denir.
Ükekledi: “ol tamıg ükekledi = o, kale üzerine burçlar yaptı”. Herhangi bir şey için sandıklar yapmak ta böyledir; (ükeklər – ükeklemek).

Dankoff and Kelley’s translation of the Divan lugat al-turk offers translations of the definition of these words into English as follows (omitting the transliteration of the Arabic script): [28, i, p. 116 (ükāk), 169 (ükāklig), 169 (ükāklik), and 249 (ükāklä:-)].

Ükāk ‘Box (tābūt, şundūq).’
Ükāklik ‘Any piece of wood that is to be made into a box (şundūq).’
Ükā:klig ‘A wall on which there are towers (’alayhi burūj).’
ol tāmil ükāklä:di ‘He put towers (burūj) on the city walls.’ Also for putting boxes (şanādiq) on a thing. ükāklä: ükāklä:mā:k.

Egeubay’s translation of the Divan lugat al-turk offers translations of the definition of these words into Kazakh as follows [33, i, p. 108 (ükek), 184 (ükek), and 361–362 (ükekle-)] (omitting the Arabic script):

ÜKEK: sandıq, tabt.
ÜKEK: qaraul munara. Şaaxar dualında, qorşau qabırğasında urs üsein turğizilgan munaralar.

Auezova’s translation of the Divan lugat al-turk offers translations of the definition of these words into Russian as follows [32, p. 111 (‘ukak), 180 (‘ukaklik), and 303 (‘ukakl-)] (omitting the Arabic script):

323 ‘ukak – ‘yashchik, sunduk’.
324 ‘ukak – ‘bashnya gorodskoy steny, osnashchennaya dlya boveyevyh deystviy’.
7.2. A Turkic Etymology: Old and Middle Turkic Languages

Sir Gerard Clauson offers a more complete etymology of this word in his classic etymological dictionary of Old Turkic [13, p. 105; see also 14, p. 623]:

ükek originally ‘box’ or the like; hence metaphorically ‘a wall tower’ on a city wall, Arabic burc, in certain contexts with the metaphorical meanings of that word. Survives only (?) in NE Tuvan ügek ‘a small confined space, e.g. dog kennel, the interior of a covered cart, sentry box’, and NC Kir[ğuz] ükök ‘a small box for carrying food’.

Clauson points out further that it survives in Kāşgarī’s Dīwān luğāt al-turk (11th century) as ükek al-tābūt wa’l-ṣundāq ‘coffin, box’; ükek burc sūri’l-madīnati’l-mu adda li’l-harb ‘a tower on a city wall built for military purposes’9. It also occurs in the Qutadğū bilig (11th century) as on iki ükek ‘the twelve signs of the zodiac’ (Arabic burc) and in the phrase ěl(l)ig boyını kılça ükekçe baş ‘a king with a neck as (thin as) a hair and a head as (thick as) a tower’ (the understanding man does not trust him at all) [4, lines 138 and 2154]. According to Clauson, the line from the Qutadğū bilig is copied directly by Rabğüzı in his Qıssas ül-enbiya’ (early 14th century), a work from Ribat-i Oğuz in the territory of the Golden Horde10. Finally, Ibn Muhanna also has üge:ęk which he glosses as al-burc (here) ‘a sign of the zodiac’, reproduced below from the editions by Melyoranskiy and Rifat (clearly the manuscript has a misspelling, with the letter lam instead of kaf) [34, Arabic p. 78; and 21, p. 183]:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Melyoranskiy</th>
<th>Rifat</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>مَرْجُ</td>
<td>مَرْجُ</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(siel) أَيْلَ</td>
<td>أَوْكَالَ</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

As Clauson notes, this form already shows the voicing of –k- > -g- (on the path to eventually becoming -v-, as noted above).

Clauson also cites the two additional nominal forms and the one verbal form derived from ükek cited in Kāşgarī [13, p. 105–106] The first nominal form, ükeklik, is a hapax legomenon (i.e., it is attested only once): ‘a wall which has towers (burūc) on it’ is called ükeklik taːm. The second form is: ükeklik kull xaşaba u’iddat li-yuttaxad minhā ‘l-ṣundāq ‘any piece of wood prepared for making it into a box’. The verbal form ükekleː- occurs as: ol taːmug ükekleːdiː ‘he placed towers (wa’d’a...burūc) on the city wall’; also used for making boxes (ṣanāḍiql) of anything11.

---

9 Citing it as Kaş I 78, referring to the Atalay translation (see above).
10 Clauson cites Rabğüzı following [44, i, p. 1193]. See also [2, i, p. 134 (text) and 678 (glossary)].
11 Clauson cites these as Kaş I 153 and Kaş I 307, referring to the Atalay translation (see above).
7.3. A Turkic Etymology: Modern Turkic Languages

With respect to modern languages, as Clauson notes, the word survives in Tuvan and Kyrgyz. It is attested in Tuvan [56, p. 443] as:

ügek 1) ‘konura’; it ügëé ‘konura dlya sobaki’; 2) ‘xlev (dlya molodnyaka)’; bızaa ügëé ‘telyatnik’; 3) ‘naves, ukrytiye na povoske (ot zhary, vetra, snega); 4) budka; tañnul ügëé ‘storozhevaya budka’; 5) ‘kabina’; ujudukçu ügëé ‘kabina letchika’; sr. kabina.

In other words, the first meaning of ügek is ‘hovel’, with it ügëé meaning ‘kennel for a dog’. The second meaning is ‘shed (for a young animal)’, with bızaa ügëé meaning ‘veal shed’. The third meaning is ‘shelter, awning (from heat, wind, snow)’. The fourth meaning is ‘box, cabin’, with the tañnul ügëé meaning ‘watch box, sentry box’. Finally the fifth meaning is ‘booth’, with modern term ujudukçu ügëé meaning ‘crew cabin, cockpit’.

The word is also attested in Kyrgyz (Kırgız) [64, p. 820] as ükök ‘sunduchok dlya khraneniya s”estnykh pripasov’ ['a small box for storing food supplies’]. Given the fact that the precise word we are looking for is to be found in Turkic, I do not see any need to try to explain it through other derivations.

7.4. Additional Notes on the Turkic Etymology

To Clauson’s citations we may add that ükäk also occurs with the meaning ‘tower’ (corresponding to Arabic burc and Persian kōşk) in the 12th – early 13th century interlinear Qur’ān translation studied by Eckmann [15, p. 312]:

yätär sizlärkä ölüm, nâçämä bolsa sizlär äd yüksäk ükäklär içindä
death will overtake you though you should be in high-raised towers

The form of the name for what is today Uvek which is given by Marco Polo (Uccaca) and the forms in Arabic script (ukak, etc.) are not conclusive with regard to whether the name was pronounced originally as a back-vowel or a front-vowel word. The modern name Uvek is clearly a Russianized form no longer reflecting Turkic or Mongolian vowel harmony, but it does suggest that the name was originally a front-vowel word. Therefore Uvek must have had a front vowel in the first syllable before it was lost in Russian, in which case the earlier form would have been *üvek ~ üväk.12

The form given by Marco Polo (Uccaca) and the forms in Arabic script (e.g., Ukkak in Ibn Batüßa) also suggest that the name originally had an intervocalic -k-. The change k > g > v (w) and q > ğ > v (w) is a regular feature of Kipchak Turkic dialects and also occurs in Oğuz Turkic dialects, for example (cited following Clauson):

Old Turkic taːɡ ‘mountain’ > Kazan Tatar taw ‘mountain’ [13, p. 463]
Old Turkic kögürçgün ‘pigeon, dove’ > Kazan Tatar kügärçen [i.e., kügärçin], Turkish güvercin ‘pigeon, dove’ [13, p. 713]

12 For a discussion of the Russian form, including the hydronym Uvesha, see: [35].
Old Turkic *takıĝu: ‘a domestic fowl’ > Kazan Tatar tawık, Turkish tavuk ‘chicken’ [13, p. 468]

Based upon the considerations state above, it is easy to propose that the name developed as follows:

ükek ~ ükäk > ügek ~ ügäk > *üvek ~ üväk > uvek

To complicate matters further (and for the sake of completeness), we need to remember that the vowels in languages of the Middle Volga region such as Kazan Tatar experienced a vowel shift including:

*o > u, ö > ü (Kazan Tatar *on > un ‘10’; *böl- > bül- ‘to divide’).

For this reason one could consider the possibility that the original name began not in ü-, but in an earlier *ö-, so *ökek ~ ökäk. The one caution in this regard is that we do not have a precise date for the “Volga vowel shift”. Since the mid-13th century is probably too early for such a shift in Turkic languages in the Middle Volga region, it would be best to consider rather forms in ü- before resorting to forms in ö-. This is also suggested by the modern forms in Tuvan and Kyrgyz.

Finally, there is a long list of monosyllabic and bisyllabic roots in Old Turkic from which one could potentially seek a different source for deriving this name as well.13 While this is theoretically possible, as we have already seen above, there are multiple ways in which the meaning of this word is highly appropriate as an etymology for the modern name Uvek. For this reason there is no need to resort to other possible etymologies beyond the form found in Kašğari.

7.5. A Mongolian Etymology?

As Menges has noted, the same word exists in quite a number of Mongolian languages. In addition to the earlier sources he cites, I will note that this same word is attested in Classical Mongolian as ükeg ~ üküg ‘chest, case, box, cupboard’ [27, p. 1002–1003]. The word is also attested the on-line Bolor Khalkha Mongolian dictionary as üxėg ‘case, box, trunk, chest’, search under үхэг in: [60]. The large on-line explanatory dictionary for Khalkha Mongolian gives both the forms üxėg and üxėglėx (the latter form could also be a Turkic loanword in Mongolian), search under үхэр and үхэрлэх in: [61]. The word is also to be found with the same range of meanings in Buryat [12, p. 521]:

üxėg 1) nizen’kiy shkaf dlya skladavaniya veshchey; shkafchik v vide tumbochki; 2) podstavka dlya sunduka; 3) yashchik.

The same is the case for Kalmyk, except it is considered an archaic form [40, p. 549], with the. abbreviation ust. standing for ustrarevšhe slovo ‘archaic form’.

---

13 For this reason there is no reason to review all other possibilities for our purposes here. For an exhaustive list of front-vowel nominal roots see: [13, p. 98–99, 100–101, and 105]; for back-vowel nominal roots (which we should not be considering!) see [13, p. 75–76, 78–79, and 82–83].
The fact that the word ükek and forms derived from it occurs in both Old Turkic and Classical Mongolian makes it difficult to rule out either language as the original language. The fact that the word survives in a rather large number of Mongolian languages but in only two modern Turkic languages may suggest that it was borrowed into Old Turkic and into a small number of modern Turkic languages, or it may simply be a sign that the traditional culture of the nomads survived longer among the speakers of various Mongolian languages. If we consider the denominal verb ükekle-, it appears that it is more likely to be of Turkic origin than a verbal form native to Mongolian, since according to Poppe, Classical Mongolian would not employ the the suffix -la/-le to create a verb from ükek [43, p. 65]. The suffix -liğ (as in Khalkha üxegalx, see above) would not necessarily form ükeklik in Mongolian, whereas it is more easily seen as a ubiquitous formation in Turkic. Of course, it is also possible that the original root was borrowed into both Turkic and Mongolian from a third language before the 11th century.
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APPENDIX

1. Ükek Daba (Khangay Mountains, Mongolia) located at 47 degrees N latitude and 100.2 (100°12') degrees E longitude

2. Uvek (Saratov, Russia)
3. Topographic Map of Uvek (Saratov, Russia)
Accessed: January 5, 2016]

4. Ükek Pass, Kyrgyzstan (Photo by Jürgen Grösel, June 2012)
Accessed: January 5, 2016]
5. Köl Ükök Lake, Kyrgyzstan

Accessed: January 5, 2016]

6. Ükök Suusu River, Kyrgyzstan

[Source: https://www.flickr.com/photos/62516383@N04/8491680629/in/photostream/.
Accessed: January 5, 2016]

7. Ükök Plateau, Kosh-Agachsky District, Altay Republic, Russian Federation
(Photo by Richard Lozin, October 2010)

Accessed: January 5, 2016]
ПОДЪЕМ ГОРОДСКИХ ЦЕНТРОВ В ЗОЛОТОЙ ОРДЕ
И ГОРОД УКЕК
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Цель и материалы исследования: в данной статье рассматривается подъем городов на территории Золотой Орды. В ней контекстуализируется информация, встречающаяся у таких средневековых путешественников, как Марко Поло и Гийом де Рубрук, для изучения перехода кочевнической экономики к оседлой экономике. Такие арабоязычные авторы, как Абу-ль-Фида, позволяют обозревать местонахождение крупных городских центров, в то время как Ибн Баттута позволяет глубже понять внутреннее устройство городов. Хотя Укек появился как городской центр, расположенный на северной оконечности маршрута ежегодных кочевнических миграций и на полуострове в подобиях города Сарая на юге и из города Булгара на севере, в данной статье утверждается, что, вполне возможно, он приобрел большую важность как центр производства зерна ввиду климатического изменения. В статье также делается попытка понять происхождение и значение названия Укек, представляя в отношении его этимологии подробное рассмотрение средневековых и современных сведений.

Результаты и новизна исследования: в статье выдвигается предположение, что название Укека в действительности отображает информацию о геологической форме места, где он был построен. В то время, как большинство исследователей считали, что изначально оно означало «ящик», под которым подразумевались укрепления на городской стене, автор утверждает, что это название скорее указывает на похожие на ящик полые очертания местности, предоставлявшие как убежище, так и расположение на возвышенностях, в которых были видны путешественникам и представлялись в форме, легко узнаваемой для путешественников, прибывших с расстояния в тысячи километров с юго-востока, где находились несколько подобных же местностей с той же формой и тем же названием.

Ключевые слова: Укек, номадизм, стоянки, прото-города, городские центры, Сарая, геологическая особенность, производство зерна, тюркская этимология, монгольская этимология
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